

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2001-0879.02 9579 07/16/2003 Charles Edward Akers JR. 10/620,720 EXAMINER 10/05/2004 21972 7590 RAJGURU. UMAKANT K LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

LEXMARK INTERNATIONAL, INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPARTMENT
740 WEST NEW CIRCLE ROAD
BLDG. 082-1
LEXINGTON, KY 40550-0999

1711 DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/620,720	AKERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			ress
Period for Reply	,,	•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuenty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor (BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·— ·	nis action is non-final.	1	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29-38</u> is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to</u> 8) □ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subject to </u>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed application from the International Bured * See the attached detailed Office action for a life to the priority documed attached attached the priority documed attached the priority documed attac	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/N Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	/ Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO	o-152)

Art Unit: 1711

1. Claims 1-38 are presented for examination.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to ink, classified in class 523, subclass 160.
 - II. Claims 29-38, drawn to a method for producing a surface modified pigment, classified in class 558, subclass 293.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions and different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. During a telephone conversation with Attorney John Brady on September 1, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of I, claims 1-

Art Unit: 1711

28. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 29-38 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 15-17 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Parazak (US 6,281,267).

Parazak describes use of specific polymers in inkjet printing inks. Such an ink contains self-dispersing pigments (column 2, lines 48-49, column 7, lines 1-10). A typical formulation includes the colorant, one or more co-solvents, colloids and water (column 7, lines 55-60). Suitable co-solvents are 2-pyrrolidone and 1, 3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (column 8, lines 19-23). Pigment is carbon black (column 10, lines 10-12).

Above claims therefore lack novelty.

Art Unit: 1711

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 15-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parazak (US 6,281,267).

It would have been obvious to follow above disclosure of patentee and arrive at claimed invention.

8. Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parazak (US 6,281,267) as applied to claims 1 and 15 respectively above, and further in view of Sun (US 6,402,825).

Parazak does not mention (claimed) acid number of carbon black.

Sun discloses surface modified carbon black, which possesses an acid number of from 0.5 to 1-5 multi-equivalents of COOH/gram (column 2, lines 59-62).

It would therefore have been obvious to use in the formulation of Parazak, carbon black with claimed acid number for better dispensability and stability.

9. Claims 11-14 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parazak (US 6,281,267) as applied to claims 1 and 15 respectively above, and further in view of Beach et al (US 5,719,204).

Parazak is silent about (claimed) pigment dispersion.

Beach describes pigmented inks with polymeric dispersants.

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

It would have been obvious to use in the formulation of Parazek, the polymeric dispersants to ensure that any non-self-dispersing pigments present in the formulation are well dispersed.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (571) 272-1077. The examiner can generally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

U.K. Rajguru/dh September 15, 2004

James J. Seidleck: Supervisory Patent Examinate Technology Center 1700